TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY NAVAL AIR STATION, ALAMEDA (Held at DTSC/Emeryville)

August 27, 1992

Attendees:

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE
Bob Sakai	Alameda County (EDP)	(510) 272-3881
Steve McKinley	Alameda Fire Department	(510) 748-4602
Mike Devlin	Alameda Fire Department	(510) 748-4602
Roberta Hough	Community Advisory Committee	(510) 865-6963
Virginia Lasky	Dept. of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)	(510) 540-3817
Robert Newman	East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD)	(510) 287-1641
Donna Courington	J.M. Montgomery, Consulting Engs., Inc. (JMM)	(510) 975-3400
Kenneth Leung	JMM	(510) 975-3400
Randy Cate	Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda	(510) 263-3716
Sherri Withrow	NAS Alameda	(510) 263-3724
Roger Caswell	Naval Aviation Depot, NAS Alameda	(510) 263-6241
Denise Klimas	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin. (NOAA)	(415) 744-3126
Duane C. Balch	PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (PRC-EMI)	(916) 852-8300
Terry Ruiter	PRC-EMI	(303) 295-1101
Louise T. Lew	U.S. Dept. Navy, Western Div. (WESTDIV)	(415) 244-2551
Gary Munekawa	WESTDIV	(415) 244-2524
George Kikugawa	WESTDIV	(415) 244-2559

AGENDA ITEMS:

1. STATUS REPORT -- RI FIELD WORK

Phases 1 and 2A

- A. The Navy continued performing the QA\QC and data validation on the Navy's previous contractor's electronic data base for the Phases 1 and 2A sites. Identified data gaps will be targeted for further investigation.
- B. A data summary report for the Phases 1 and 2A sites is scheduled for distribution to the agencies in early December 1992.

Phases 2B and 3 RI/FS

- A. Additional sampling at Sites 4 and 5, and background sampling were conducted in May and June, 1992.
- B. The Navy submitted the draft final addendum report to the draft final data summary report (DSR) to the regulatory agencies on August 4, 1992.
- C. The Navy met with the DTSC on August 19, 1992, to discuss the revised RI/FS schedule, agency responses to the draft final data summary report (DSR) submitted in April, and to further discuss additional field work

activities based on report recommendations.

Phase 4, Ecological Assessment Study

A. The revised Final Ecological Assessment Work Plan was approved by the regulatory agencies in June. The Navy began contractual actions for the implementation of the ecological assessment field work.

Phases 5 and 6 RI/FS (SWAT)

A. The PRC team submitted the draft SWAT report for Navy review and comments on July 17, 1992. Navy comments were received on August 6, 1992, and will be incorporated into the draft final SWAT report (due to the agencies in early October).

2. RI/FS Schedule

A. A revised RI/FS schedule based on DTSC input (during the August 19, 1992, meeting with the DTSC) was presented and discussed. Work plan documents will be drawn up by January/February 1993 for agency review, outlining the additional field work activities required to close data gaps and to complete site-by-site evaluations for all sites under Phases 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 5 and 6. A tentative meeting date of January 27, 1993, has been scheduled with the DTSC, to discuss the remaining RI/FS schedule based on these work plan activities.

3. IMF Removal Action

A. The DTSC indicated that they had finished reviewing the revised final field investigation report and would be submitting their comments in writing soon. The DTSC verbally communicated that they will require a removal action. Thus, the Navy will begin contractual actions for generation of the engineering evaluation and cost analysis (EE/CA) required to identify the most effective action required to address DTSC concerns.

4. OTHERS

- A. Alameda Fire Department attendees Mr. Steve McKinley and Mr. Mike Delvin had questions for the Navy concerning stormwater outfall issues with respect to the Clean Water Act. They were interested in knowing if there were tie-ins with the city stormwater sewer system and if the Navy was doing any discharge monitoring of its stormwater outfalls. The Navy responded that they were currently generating an illicit discharge plan, addressing stormwater outfalls, including a prevention and mitigation plan, and that this questions would be addressed when it was completed.
- B. Roberta Hough, of the Community Advisory Committee, inquired about background metal levels and their derivation. Particularly the inclusion of outlier values and how they affect the calculation of average values. The Navy indicated that these values were for comparative purposes only and that the question of outlier values unfairly biasing the data would be considered. Ms. Hough also had questions concerning worker exposure at the plating shops due to elevated cyanide levels. Mr. Roger Caswell, Naval Aviation Depot, explained that the plating shops were no longer used by Navy personnel.
- C. A Technical Review Committee meeting is tentatively scheduled for 9:30 a.m., on Wednesday, December 2, 1992, at DTSC in Emeryville. Randy Cate or Sherri Withrow (both of NAS Alameda) will prepare and distribute an agenda.

TRANSMITTAL/DELIVERABLE RECEIPT			
Contract No. N68711-00-D-0005	Document Control No. TC . A021 . 10075		
TO: Mr. Ron Fuller, Code 02R1.RF Contracting Officer Naval Facilities Engineering Comm Southwest Division 1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101-8517 FROM: Michael Wanta, Contract	Alameda Point, Alameda, California		
DOCUMENT TITLE AND DATE:			
BRAC Cleanup Team After Action Reports from 1990 through 2000 and 2001 through 2002			
April 2, 2003			
TYPE: Contractual Deliverable	Technical Other (TC) Deliverable (DS)		
VERSION: NA (e.g., Draft, Draft Final, F	REVISION#: NA		
ADMIN RECORD: Yes 🛛 No	CATEGORY: Confidential		
SCHEDULED DELIVERY DATE:	NA ACTUAL DELIVERY DATE: 04/03/03		
NUMBER OF COPIES SUBMITTED TO NAVY: O/3C/4E O = original transmittal form C = copy of transmittal form E = enclosure			
COPIES TO: (Include Name, Navy Mail C	ode, and Number of Copies)		
	TETRA TECH: OTHER:		
M. McClelland (06CAMM) File/Doc O/1E 1C/1E (v			
Diane Silva (05G.DS)* Courtney			
3C/3E 1C/1E	, 001/111		
	Date/Time Received		
	2		